

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

E-Filed on 8/22/2007

1 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996
 Facsimile (702) 949-8321
 Telephone (702) 949-8320

2 Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199
 Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com
 Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593
 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com
 John C. Hinderaker, AZ State Bar No. 018024
 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com

3 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

6 **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**
 7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 In re:

9 USA COMMERCIAL MORTGAGE COMPANY,
 10 USA CAPITAL REALTY ADVISORS, LLC,
 11 USA CAPITAL DIVERSIFIED TRUST DEED FUND,
 LLC,
 13 USA CAPITAL FIRST TRUST DEED FUND, LLC,
 14 USA SECURITIES, LLC,

Debtors.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR
 Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR

CHAPTER 11

Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-
 10725 LBR

**THIRTY-FOURTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION
 OF THE USACM LIQUIDATING TRUST TO
 CLAIMS ASSERTING SECURED STATUS**

Hearing Date: September 28. 2007
 Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.

15 **Affects:**

- All Debtors
- USA Commercial Mortgage Company
- USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC
- USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
- USA Securities, LLC

20 The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant
 21 to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of
 22 the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an order
 23 denying secured status to certain claims asserting a secured status against USA
 24 Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”). The USACM Trust is not, by this filing,
 25 objecting at this time to any of the claims as unsecured claims, but reserves the right to do
 26

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

1 so. This Objection is supported by the Court's record and explained in the following
 2 Memorandum.

3 **I. JURISDICTION**

4 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334
 5 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core
 6 proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B).

7 2. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502
 8 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

9 **II. BACKGROUND**

10 1. On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM, USA Securities, LLC ("USA
 11 Securities"), USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC ("USA Realty"), USA Capital
 12 Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC ("DTDF"), USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC
 13 ("FTDF" and together with DTDF , the "Funds") (collectively the "Debtors"), filed
 14 voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors
 15 continued to operate their businesses, if any, as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections
 16 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Post-petition management of the Debtors was
 17 under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC
 18 ("Mesirow"), who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

19 2. USACM is a Nevada Corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the
 20 business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans
 21 primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account.

22 3. This business included the solicitation of individual investors to purchase
 23 fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors,
 24 totaling approximately 3,600 as of the Petition Date, are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in
 25 USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

1 4. Although USACM serviced and sometimes originated the loans in which the
2 Direct Lenders invested, USACM was not a borrower on these loans.

3 5. On September 14, 2006, the Court entered its Order Setting Deadline to File
4 Proofs of Claim and Proofs of Interest (the "Bar Date Order") [Docket No. 1280]. The
5 Bar Date Order established 5:00 p.m., prevailing Pacific Time, on November 13, 2006, as
6 the deadline ("Bar Date") for creditors to file proof of claims

7 6. On September 25, 2006, Debtors served a copy of the Bar Date Order on
8 their service lists [Docket No. 1358]. All Creditors, as well as, Direct Lenders were
9 served with a copy of the Bar Date order as well [Docket No. 1358].

10 7. On November 6, 2006, a stipulation was filed and an order entered extending
11 the Bar Date for Direct Lenders only to file proofs of claim until January 13, 2007 [Docket
12 No. 1729].

13 8. On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors'
14 Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" [Docket No. 2376].

15 9. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect
16 to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims.

17 10. The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was
18 March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee.

19 11. **Exhibit A** contains a list of Claims against USACM filed by various parties,
20 most of whom are Direct Lenders, asserting that their Claims are secured or partially
21 secured (the "Alleged Secured Claims"), which the USACM Trust asks the Court to
22 disallow as secured claims by this objection. In the aggregate, there are over 1,650
23 claimants asserting over \$310,000,000 in total Claims.

24 12. It appears that most of the claimants asserting secured status are Direct
25 Lenders who are under the misimpression that because their loan investments serviced by
26 USACM were secured by collateral of the Borrowers, any Claim they might have asserted

LEWIS
ANDROCA
LLP

L A W Y E R S

1 against USACM would also be secured. To the contrary, these Claims are not entitled to
 2 secured status and should be classified as general unsecured Claims. USACM has no
 3 property that serves as collateral for the Direct Lender loans serviced by USACM. Having
 4 reviewed lien searches and the books and records of USACM, neither USACM nor the
 5 USACM Trust believes that any of the holders of the Alleged Secured Claims were
 6 granted a security interest in any property of USACM, or that any such security interest
 7 was perfected under applicable law.

8

9 **III. APPPLICABLE AUTHORITY**

10 1. Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been
 11 filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the
 12 proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the
 13 Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is “unenforceable
 14 against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such
 15 claim is contingent or unmatured.” 11 U.S.C. § 502(b).

16 2. The USACM Trust is entitled to object to proofs of claim under 11 U.S.C.
 17 § 502(a). This objection is timely under the confirmed Plan.

18 3. A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule
 19 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption,
 20 the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the
 21 claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*,
 22 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996). The ultimate
 23 burden of proof as to the validity of a proof of claim “remains at all times upon the
 24 claimant.” *Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc. (In re Lundell)*, 223 F.3d 1035,
 25 1039 (9th Cir. 2000).

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

1 **IV. OBJECTIONS TO CLAIMS**

2 1. As more particularly described herein, the USACM Trust seeks in this
3 Objection to reclassify the Alleged Secured Claims as general unsecured Claims.

4 2. These Claims may be the subject of multiple objections herein for any of the
5 reasons stated in this Objection. These Claims may also be subject to prior or
6 subsequently filed objections, and this objection is without prejudice to any other
7 objection by any party in interest, including the USACM Trust.

8 3. The USACM Trust reserves the right to further object to any and all Claims,
9 whether or not the subject of this Objection, for allowance and/or distribution purposes on
10 any other grounds. The USACM Trust further reserves the right to modify, supplement
11 and/or amend this Objection as it pertains to any Claim or claimant herein.

12 4. For the convenience of the parties, and pursuant to the Court's direction, the
13 USACM Trust has broken down its objections to secured claims into groups of no more
14 than 50 claimants each. Thus this objection is one of a series of many objections to
15 Claims that assert fully or partially secured status.

16 5. Exhibit A contains a partial list of the Alleged Secured Claims, filed by
17 various parties, most of whom are Direct Lenders, asserting that their Claims are secured.
18 The USACM Trust objects to these claims on the basis that these Claims are not secured
19 by property of the USACM estate, although they may well be secured by property owned
20 by their Borrowers. Secured claims are claims "by creditors against the estate that are
21 secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest." *United States v. Ron*
22 *Pair Enters., Inc.*, 489 U.S. 235, 240 (1989). None of the Claims listed on Exhibit A are
23 secured by such a lien, and the claimants have offered no evidence in support of their
24 contention. Thus none of these claims are entitled to secured status in this bankruptcy
25 case, and should be classified as general unsecured Claims, subject to further objection.

LEWIS
AND
ROCA
LLP
LAWYERS

Included on Exhibit A are Amended Proofs of Claims identified by Claim number, followed by a “-2” or 10725-00000-2. The original claims were previously reclassified as Unsecured Claims by a prior Order of this Court. The Trust requests that the Amended Claims be deemed filed as Unsecured.

V. **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons discussed above, the USACM Trust objects to the secured status asserted in the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit A attached to this Objection and requests that the Court reclassify these claims as general unsecured Claims (albeit subject to possible additional objections). The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: August 22, 2007.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By: /s/ RC (#6593)

Susan M. Freeman, AZ 4199 (*pro hac vice*)

Rob Charles, NV 6593

John C. Hinderaker AZ018024

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169-5996

Facsimile (702) 949-8321

Telephone (702) 949-8320

Email: RCharles@LRLaw.com

Counsel for USACM Liquidating Trust